

FAX RECEIVED

AUG 04 2003

Atty Docket No. 020862-000110US

GROUP 1600

PTO FAX NO.: 703-872-9306
ATTENTION: TC 1600Examiner: Theodore J. Criares
Group Art Unit: 1617**OFFICIAL****OFFICIAL COMMUNICATION****RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT****CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION**

I hereby certify that the following documents in re Application of Andrew Holman, Application No. 10/091,744, filed March 5, 2002 for ADMINISTRATION OF SLEEP RESTORATIVE AGENTS are being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

Documents Attached

1. Response to Restriction Requirement
2. Petition for Extension of Time (1 mo.)

Number of pages being transmitted, including this page: 5

Dated: August 1, 2003

Mark G. Sandbaken, Ph.D.
Reg. No. 39,354

**PLEASE CONFIRM RECEIPT OF THIS PAPER BY
RETURN FACSIMILE AT (415) 576-0300**

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP
Two Embarcadero Center, 8th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111-3834
Telephone: 206-467-9600
Fax: 415-576-0300

SF 5017592 v1

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being filed by facsimile transmission to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313 at (703) 872-9306 on Aug. 1, 2003

By: J. M. G. G. G.PATENT
Attorney Docket No.: 020862-000110US**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re the application of:

ANDREW HOLMAN

Application No.: 10/091,744

Filed: March 5, 2002

For: ADMINISTRATION OF SLEEP
RESTORATIVE AGENTS

Examiner: T. Criares

Art Unit: 1617

**RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION
REQUIREMENT**Assistant Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This communication is in response to the Restriction Requirement dated June 30, 2003, which set forth the following groups of claims:

- I. Claims 1-29, drawn to a method of treating a plethora of medical diseases.
- II. Claims 30-39, drawn to pharmaceutical compositions.

Applicant elects to prosecute Group I, claims 1-29, with traverse. Applicant reserves the right to file a divisional or related application to the claims of the non-elected group.

Remarks

The Examiner reasons that the Inventions of Groups I and II are related as process (method) and apparatus (compositions) for its practice. The Examiner reasons that restriction is proper because there are many pharmaceutical combinations in Group II that have a synergistic effect to treat many of the diseases set forth in claim 22.